



**Atacama
Large
Millimeter /
submillimeter
Array**

ALMA Offline Data Processing Requirements

ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Version B

Status: A: released; B: draft

A: 2004-11-18

B: 2007-01-10

Prepared By:	Organization	Date
Steven T. Myers Debra Shepherd	National Radio Astronomy Observatory	2004-11-18 2007-01-10
IPT Leader Approvals:	Organization	Date
	National Radio Astronomy Observatory European Southern Observatory National Astronomical Observatory of Japan	
System Engineering Approvals:	Organization	Date
	National Radio Astronomy Observatory European Southern Observatory	
Configuration Control Board Approval:	Organization	Date
	ALMA Configuration Control Board Secretary, signing for the Control Board	
JAO Director Release Authorization:	Organization	Date
	Joint ALMA Office Project Director	



**ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements**

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 2

Change Record

Version	Date	Affected Section(s)	Change Request #	Author	Reason/Initiation/Remarks
Draft A	2001-03-06	All		S.T. Myers	Initial Draft Version
Draft A	2001-05-01	All		S.T. Myers	Version for SSR telecon
Draft A	2001-06-21	All		S.T. Myers	Version to SSR
Draft A	2001-07-12	All		S.T. Myers	Comments from SSR
Draft A	2001-07-24	All		S.T. Myers	After Berkeley, remove pipeline
Draft A	2001-08-08	All		S.T. Myers	Finish first-round edits
Draft A	2001-08-31	All		S.T. Myers	Incorporate comments
Draft A	2001-09-02	All		S.T. Myers	Regularize phrasing, for ASAC
Draft A	2001-12-06	All		S.T. Myers	Comments, for review
Draft A	2002-03-06	All		S.T. Myers	After review, incorporate comments
Draft A	2002-04-18	All		S.T. Myers	Final version after review telecon
Draft A	2004-06-01	All		L.Cryer	Reformatted to official template
A	2004-11-18	All		B. Glendenning	Incorporated comments of T. Wilson, D. Emerson **First approved release
Draft B	2006-10-06	Many but not all sections		D.S. Shepherd	Add ACA & single dish requirements
Draft B	2006-12-12	Many sections.		D.S. Shepherd	Incorporates comments from NAOJ.
Draft B	2007-01-10	2.3		D.S. Shepherd	Incorporate minor comments from SSR. **Ready for re-submittal to project.



Table of Contents


1	INTRODUCTION	5
1.1	Nomenclature.....	5
1.2	General Considerations.....	6
2	OFFLINE DATA PROCESSING REQUIREMENTS.....	8
2.1	General Requirements and Interaction with Other ALMA Elements	8
2.1.1	Goals of the Offline Package and Relation to the Pipeline	8
2.1.2	Operational Issues	9
2.2	Interface	11
2.2.1	General User Interface Requirements	11
2.2.2	Graphical User Interface (GUI).....	12
2.2.3	Command Line Interface (CLI)	13
2.2.4	Interface Programming, Parameter Passing and Feedback.....	14
2.2.5	Documentation and Help Facility	16
2.3	Data Handling.....	17
2.3.1	General Data Requirements.....	17
2.3.2	Data Import and Export.....	25
2.3.3	Images and Other Data Products.....	27
2.3.4	Foreign Data.....	28
2.3.5	Interaction with the Archive	29
2.4	Calibration and Editing.....	29
2.4.1	General Calibration and Editing Requirements	29
2.4.2	Atmospheric Calibration.....	33
2.4.3	Interferometer Data.....	33
2.4.4	Single Dish Data	35
2.4.5	Mosaicing Considerations.....	38
2.4.6	Ancillary and Diagnostic Data.....	39
2.5	Imaging.....	39
2.5.1	General Imaging Requirements.....	39
2.5.2	Interferometer Imaging	41
2.5.3	Mosaicing and Single Dish Imaging Considerations.....	42
2.6	Data Analysis.....	45
2.6.1	General Analysis Requirements	45
2.6.2	Spectral Line Analysis	46
2.6.3	Image Cube Analysis and Manipulation	47
2.6.4	Single Dish-specific analysis: spectral mathematics, manipulation and miscellaneous functionality	54
2.7	Visualization.....	57
2.7.1	General Visualization and Plotting Requirements	57
2.7.2	Display Appearance and Interactivity	58
2.7.3	Visibility Data	60



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 4

2.7.4 Other ALMA Data	60
2.7.5 Image-cube Manipulation	61
2.7.6 Single dish plotting.....	62
2.8 Special Features.....	65
2.8.1 Simulation	65
2.8.2 VLBI.....	66
2.8.3 Solar System Object Observing	66
2.8.4 Pulsar Observing	68
2.8.5 Array Analysis Support.....	68





1 Introduction

This document describes the requirements for offline data processing software packages in order to be able to handle the ALMA data output. It is assumed that there will be (at least) one software package available for users to reduce their own data and/or data from the archive offline at their home institution, at an ALMA regional center, or remotely using ALMA center computing. This suite of tools must fulfill the Offline Data Processing Requirements (Section 2). Again this might be an assortment of different programs from different software packages (e.g. AIPS, GILDAS, MIRIAD, AIPS) but in this case it is highly desirable that there be at least one single package that fulfills the Offline requirements or that there be an installation that integrated the necessary parts. Note, however, that is highly unlikely that disparate applications from different packages will fulfill the requirements on similar “look and feel” and inter-connectivity, and thus it is likely as well as desirable that this will be a single homogeneous suite.

This document assumes requirements already delineated in the “ALMA Software Science Requirements and Use Cases” (ALMA-70.10.00.00-002-A-SPE) which can be found on ALMA EDM:

<http://edm.alma.cl/forums/alma/dispatch.cgi/docapproval/docProfile/100798/d20040226162244/No/t100798.htm>

Some of the content of this document is based on the AIPS User specifications Memo 115 found at:

<http://aips2.nrao.edu/stable/docs/specs/specs.html>

1.1 Nomenclature

The subject of Section 2 on Offline Data Processing Requirements is referred to as the *Package* or *Offline Package*. This is intended as a set of tools or programs, believed adequate for ALMA reductions, and used by observers and archive users for science and by ALMA staff for array testing and monitoring. It may consist of packages provided by different groups, with transitions provided to integrate them into a single suite. It may be that more than one Package fulfills the requirements of Section 2 and thus can be considered as suitable for ALMA processing. The requirements will state that the Package will be available for installation on the observer’s own computer systems as well as present at ALMA centers.

The user of the Package may be referred to as or and may be the actual proposer or a staff member or an archive user. In automated modes, the user may actually be another tool or program.

There is another set of requirements for the software that forms the ALMA Online Data Processing Pipeline, or simply the *Pipeline*. Note that the Pipeline may or may not be based on the offline Package(s), depending on implementation. However, the functionality of the Pipeline must be available in the offline Package for users (see OL–1.1–R5).

The *Archive* refers to the totality of the ALMA data storage and consists of possible different physical archives.



Definitions of various terms, such as scans, are given in ALMA-70.10.00.00-002-A-SPE.

1.2 General Considerations

- A. Two integral aspects of ALMA not dealt with specifically in this document are the Archive and the Pipeline. We have included topics “Relation to the Pipeline” (§2.1.1) and “Interaction with Archive” (§2.3.5) dealing with the interaction of the Offline Package with these two areas. The requirements for the Pipeline and Archive are given in ALMA-70.10.00.00-002-A-SPE.
- B. There is an operational difference between running the ALMA array in interferometric and single-dish modes. However, this difference may not be so fundamental for many types of data processing. For example, if several single dishes observe together, much of the calibration will be done interferometrically (pointing, focus, beam shape); for interferometric observations, temperature scale is derived from single-dish measurements. Moreover, the inclusion of single-dish capability in ALMA is primarily intended to aid in the mosaicing of targets larger than a primary beam, and thus array and total-power data are likely to be combined in these instances. Therefore, these two paths are split or combined as is appropriate for the context, with special sections on “Mosaicing Considerations” also included to clarify these issues.
- C. There is no fundamental difference between spectral-line and continuum observations, merely number of channels and bandwidths coming out of the correlator. Due to the nature of the ALMA correlator, most of the special calibration needs of traditional spectral line observations (e.g. bandpass calibration) are also applicable to continuum observations (continuum is built up through summation of spectral channels taken at low resolution). We will assume that all data will be effectively taken in spectral line mode.
- D. There is no fundamental distinction needed for polarization data, merely consideration of the number of polarization products or polarization states needed for processing. The model we consider here is the processing of one or more Stokes parameters and thus polarization is integrated into all the topics. One complication that must be considered is the combination of the array (antenna polarization correlation products) and single-dish data (the antenna polarization outputs).
- E. We use a system of prioritizing with codes:
 - 1. = essential
 - 2. = highly desirable
 - 3. = desirable, but not critical

These codes are enclosed in brackets [] at the end of the items they qualify.

It is intended that Priority 1 items must be present in the Package and work with high efficiency. Priority 2 items should be in the Package, though there may have to be sacrifices in performance or availability may be delayed. Fulfilling Priority 2 items well



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 7

should give a boost to a given Package's rating. We expect that the Package will fulfill all Priority 1 and 90% or more of Priority 2 requirements. Priority 3 items should be considered for upgrades or development.

Note that these are intentionally different than the priorities assignments used in ALMA-70.10.00.00-002-A-SPE, which are ranked according to when the capabilities in question must be delivered.

- F. The requirements in this document are prefixed with OL. Specific requirements are broken into sub-cases or instances (e.g. R1 R1.1, R1.2) for clarity. These sub-requirements are either special cases of the main requirement (often with different priority) or itemized instances or examples related to the requirement.

We have done our best to be complete in our enumerations of features in the sub-requirement and sub-sub-requirement lists. However, we anticipate that as the project progresses, we will discover new desirable features that should be added to these lists. Therefore, one should consider these lists not as all-inclusive checklists, but as our best attempts at listing the important features for the requirements in question at this early time in the project.

- G. There are a number of instances where certain specifications, such as data formats supported, are designated as being in a list maintained by the ALMA project. There are other points in the requirements that refer to "standard modes", which implies a detailed list (TBD) also maintained by the project. These cases are indicated in **boldface** to aid in determining which lists will need to be constructed. We are unable to fully specify these items at the current time, and the definition of these will be an ongoing effort for the SSR and the ALMA Project.
- H. We have done our best to make the requirements quantitative, and to clearly define the meaning of qualifiers and adjectives. However, there are instances where the substance of particular requirements is necessarily subjective (e.g. "ease of use" and "robustness" type requirements). Rather than spelling these out in detail, we have left these "squishy" requirements as-is, and will rely upon the SSR and Package representatives to take these properly into account during the evaluation process.

There are also a number of places, such as the headers to sections, where we discuss the philosophy behind our choice of requirements. In those cases, the discussions are given as *italicized* text and are not meant as requirements in and of themselves.

- I. The ALMA Compact Array (ACA) is an integral part of ALMA and requirements associated with processing ACA data (both single dish and interferometric) have been included here.
- J. The guidelines for the evaluation of proposed Offline Packages based on the requirements given in this document will be given in a separate ALMA SSR document.



2 Offline Data Processing Requirements

2.1 General Requirements and Interaction with Other ALMA Elements

2.1.1 Goals of the Offline Package and Relation to the Pipeline

Note: An ALMA Offline Data Processing Package (or -the Package-) is primarily intended to enable end-users of ALMA (e.g. observers or Archive users) to produce scientifically viable results that involve ALMA data products. The secondary use is to enable ALMA staff to assess the state of the array and derive calibration parameters for the system, although it is anticipated that the Pipeline will be the primary engine for Online Data Processing and system monitoring.

Also note that not all offline analysis tools will necessarily be in the Pipeline Package. For example, one of the important differences between Pipeline and Offline reduction path is that Offline one should have extensive interactive capabilities to merge and compare data with different resolution, coordinate system, data grid, and so on.

The SSR does feel that there is a great deal of synergy between the Offline Package and Pipeline that should be exploited by the designers of the respective software.

OL-1.1-R1 There must be an Offline Data Processing Package that fulfills the requirements laid forth in this document.

Priority: 1

OL-1.1-R2 All standard observing modes supported by ALMA must be processable by the Package.

Priority: 1

OL-1.1-R3 The Package shall be installable at the users home institution and available at ALMA regional centers (both locally and remotely). It shall be portable to **supported platforms designated by the ALMA Project**, including systems without network connections and laptops.

Priority: 1

OL-1.1-R4 The performance of the Package shall be quantifiable and commensurate with the data processing requirements of ALMA output and the scientific needs of users at a given time. The timing and reproducibility of results for a fiducial set of reduction tasks on specified test data will be benchmarked (e.g. -AIPSmasks-) and compared against other packages and a **list of benchmark specifications provided and maintained by the Project**.

Priority: 1



OL-1.1-R5 All standard processing functionality available in the Pipeline shall be available in the Package also as an offline analysis option.

Priority: 2

2.1.2 Operational Issues

OL-1.2-R1 Installation of the Package by an end user must be straightforward, preferably without special (e.g. root) user permission.

Priority: 1

OL-1.2-R2 Error reporting and handling shall be user-understandable and non-destructive at all levels in the Package:

OL-1.2-R2.1 Error reporting messages shall be written for end users, not programmers.

Priority: 1

OL-1.2-R2.2 There must be provision for job control such as interrupt and abort.

Priority: 1

OL-1.2-R2.3 Error handling shall be non-destructive (data shall not be left corrupted, and recovery of recent changes be available). Common failure modes (as enumerated: invalid application parameters, exceeding of resource limits (disk/memory), and algorithm failure modes (e.g. no convergence) should be handled gracefully.

Priority: 2

OL-1.2-R2.4 Code traceback of execution errors shall be available. This should be geared to the effective reporting of failure modes and bugs by the user.

Priority: 3

OL-1.2-R3 There shall be session logging, including the following features:

OL-1.2-R3.1 logging of commands and user inputs shall be provided

Priority: 1

OL-1.2-R3.2 logging of tool results such as success or error, files written, time of completion shall be provided

Priority: 1



OL-1.2-R3.3 logging of tool output such as summaries of results shall be provided

Priority: 2

OL-1.2-R3.4 the session log shall be readable by the user (i.e. in a text file, not in a binary format)

Priority: 2

OL-1.2-R3.5 session logs shall be executable by the package UI, reproducing the entire session

Priority: 3

OL-1.2-R4 There shall be comprehensive handling of multiple users and multi-tasking with access and process control.

Priority: 1

OL-1.2-R5 The Package providers shall provide support for the Package, with bug-fixing on timescales appropriate to the severity level of the defect (e.g. 1 week or less for catastrophic bugs with no work-around). **These defect levels and timescales should be delineated by the ALMA project.**

Priority: 1

OL-1.2-R6 The Package providers shall provide timely improvements and updates based on user feedback. There shall be a path for the ALMA Project, for its own use and as a proxy for the users, to influence the development cycle of the Package. It is the responsibility of the Project to negotiate needed improvements with the Package providers.

Priority: 1

OL-1.2-R7 Backward compatibility of core package components should not be broken without compelling scientific reasons. Tools should be provided to parse user scripts and warn of package changes.

Priority: 2

OL-1.2-R8 Source code for the astronomical routines in the Package shall be available to the user.

Priority: 2

Notes: This does allow for the use of some proprietary data handling, output formatting, and



special processing (e.g. Pixon) routines.

OL-1.2-R9 User installation of the basic package shall not be restricted by other issues such as expensive or unduly restrictive licenses. The Package license should convey all other necessary licenses (such as GNU) or they should be available to the user for only a nominal fee.

Priority: 2

Comment: This allows for the use of commercial components. However, a balance must be struck between excessive costs to the project and requiring some cost to be borne by the user, should this issue arise.

OL-1.2-R10 There shall be the provision for the development and incorporation of user-supplied code.

Priority: 2

OL-1.2-R11 The application of successive stages of calibration, correction, flagging and editing shall not be destructive to the data. The Package should be able to recover and revert to earlier stages without resorting to saving of the entire dataset at intermediate states.

Priority: 2

2.2 Interface

2.1.1 General User Interface Requirements

OL-2.1-R1 User must be able to choose from a variety of interface styles, including:

OL-2.1-R1.1 A Command Line Interface (CLI) must be provided, with access via both an interactive input and via script.

Priority: 1

OL-2.1-R1.2 A Graphical User Interface (GUI) must be provided for interactive processing. Actions taken under the GUI must be loggable, editable, and executable by the CLI.

Priority: 1

OL-2.1-R2 The user shall be able to interact with the host operating system with command sequences invoked from the UI.



Priority: 1

OL-2.1-R3 Multitasking for all interfaces shall be available where appropriate. It must be possible to run one or more long-running calculations in the background. While background tasks are running normal interactive activities must be possible.

Priority: 1

2.2.2 Graphical User Interface (GUI)

Note: The GUI is intended to be the primary interactive mode for users, especially neophyte users, and thus should be tailored for clarity and ease of use. Use of the Package GUIs should be a pleasurable, not a frustrating, experience!

OL-2.2-R1 The GUI shall provide real-time feedback via standard compact displays:

OL-2.2-R1.1 Window updating must be fast (less than 0.1s on same host).

Priority: 1

OL-2.2-R1.2 Windows shall not take up excessive screen space, with full GUI controls visible on a window one-third the size of a standard view surface (or approximately 800 × 800 pixels).

Priority: 1

OL-2.2-R1.3 Users shall have the choice of cascading windows or re-use of a single window for new operations.

Priority: 2

OL-2.2-R1.4 Moving and resizing of all windows must be available, robust, and easy.

Priority: 2

OL-2.2-R1.5 There shall be a master control GUI for process control which keeps track of sub-windows and tools.

Priority: 3

OL-2.2-R2 It must be easy to run GUIs remotely from the host machine (e.g. via X displays).

Priority: 1

OL-2.2-R3 The use of the GUI shall not entail an excessive learning curve. Average users, with experience with the current generation of packages (e.g. AIPS, GILDAS, IRAF, MIRIAD) shall be able to become proficient in GUI use in a timescale of approximately 12 hours dedicated use, and truly neophyte users (e.g. graduate students) should be reach proficiency



with an investment not exceeding 40 hours of dedicated use.

Priority: 2

OL-2.2-R4 GUI-based tools shall be available for reduction of data taken in all standard ALMA observing modes.

Priority: 2

OL-2.2-R5 The look and feel of the GUI will be uniform throughout the entire package.

Priority: 2

OL-2.2-R6 The look and feel of the GUI must be acceptable to both novice and more advanced users. The GUI mode might be customizable (perhaps through menu selection for -novice- or -advanced- mode) or a different simpler set of GUI tools might be available for beginners. The GUI features for beginner mode shall include:

OL-2.2-R6.1 built-in help facility with access to novice-oriented help documents (e.g. sections in the cookbook)

Priority: 2

OL-2.2-R6.2 sensible defaulting of values for parameters, with guidance for user choices where needed

Priority: 2

OL-2.2-R6.3 integrated functionality built around common data analysis tasks (e.g. single-field spectroscopic observations with fast switching, OTF mosaicing in continuum mode, snapshot observations of a large number of targets in single-field continuum mode)

Priority: 2

OL-2.2-R7 It shall be easy for users to develop and include their own custom GUIs in the Package.

Priority: 3

2.2.3 Command Line Interface (CLI)

Note: the CLI is the primary mode for automatic reduction for ALMA, and it is anticipated that there will be a suite of -standard- scripts developed to help users in data reduction tasks. Thus it is important that the Package support all of its critical modes in the CLI.



OL-2.3-R1 The interface must have the facility to read in command files for batch processing of a sequence of CLI commands.

Priority: 1

OL-2.3-R2 The CLI shall have command-line recall and editing, with name completion where appropriate.

Priority: 1

OL-2.3-R3 All functionality of the GUI must also be available in CLI mode (although possibly with loss of simplicity in instances where the graphical selection is important).

Priority: 1

OL-2.3-R4 The CLI shall be usable remotely over low-speed (14400 baud) modem lines or network connections, with ASCII terminal emulation.

Priority: 2

OL-2.3-R5 A CLI mode menu for display and editing of input parameters and execution of tasks is desirable.

Priority: 3

2.2.4 Interface Programming, Parameter Passing and Feedback

OL-2.4-R1 The UI must have basic programming facilities such as:

OL-2.4-R1.1 variable assignment and evaluation

Priority: 1

OL-2.4-R1.2 array handling

Priority: 1

OL-2.4-R1.3 conditional statements

Priority: 1

OL-2.4-R1.4 control loops

Priority: 1

OL-2.4-R1.5 string manipulation

Priority: 1

OL-2.4-R1.6 user-defined functions and procedures with argument or parameter passing



Priority: 1

OL-2.4-R1.7 process control, interrupts, error handling

Priority: 1

OL-2.4-R1.8 standard mathematical operations and functions

Priority: 1

OL-2.4-R1.9 efficient special vector and matrix operations

Priority: 2

OL-2.4-R1.10 user-defined data structures

Priority: 2

OL-2.4-R2 Commands executed will be logged, with provision to re-execute the session.

Priority: 1

OL-2.4-R3 Input parameter and syntax checking shall be effected upon function calling or parsing with reporting of incorrect, suspicious or dangerous choices before execution where possible.

Priority: 1

OL-2.4-R4 Parameters shall be passable between applications in as transparent a manner as possible. However, global variables should not be the default, unless designated specifically by the user-programmer.

Priority: 2

OL-2.4-R5 Application variables shall be named consistently and as clearly as possible indicating their intended use using astronomical terms where appropriate.

Priority: 2

OL-2.4-R6 Parameter inputs to tools shall be stored for later recall:

OL-2.4-R6.1 Tool inputs shall be saved on closure, and reinstated on the next instantiation of the tool.

Priority: 2

OL-2.4-R6.2 It shall be possible for the user to save the state of the parameters for the entire package, as well as for individual tools, as a named set (e.g. SAVE/GET in AIPS), and to recall these when desired.



Priority: 3

2.2.5 Documentation and Help Facility

OL-2.5-R1 There shall be a variety of help levels and documentation formats accessible from the UI and over the Internet, applicable to novices, experts, and technical users. These shall include:

OL-2.5-R1.1 user cookbooks with extensive examples

Priority: 1

OL-2.5-R1.2 application descriptions and reference manual (with all inputs to functions and tools)

Priority: 1

OL-2.5-R1.3 online help, FAQ, email contacts

Priority: 1

OL-2.5-R1.4 release history, bug reports and tracking, patch descriptions

Priority: 1

OL-2.5-R1.5 programmer references and guides

Priority: 2

OL-2.5-R1.6 data format descriptions

Priority: 2

OL-2.5-R1.7 algorithm descriptions

Priority: 2

OL-2.5-R1.8 newsletters, email exploders, notes series

Priority: 3

Note: these would be maintained by the Package providers, with help from the ALMA project.

OL-2.5-R2 The Package creators must ensure the documentation is up-to-date and complete for all parts of the Package.



Priority: 1

OL-2.5-R3 Help materials shall also be available in printable formats, including

OL-2.5-R3.1 standard document formats (pdf, postscript)

Priority: 1

OL-2.5-R3.2 printer-friendly versions of HTML pages

Priority: 2

OL-2.5-R3.3 popular proprietary formats (MS-Word)

Priority: 3

OL-2.5-R4 Help shall be context-sensitive where relevant. In GUI mode, fly-over banners should indicate use of buttons and fields, and clickable help buttons should be available on all pages.

Priority: 2

OL-2.5-R5 In GUI mode, help functions may direct a browser to a Web page. In CLI mode, the Package must support in-line text based help also.

Priority: 2

OL-2.5-R6 Full search capability must be built into the documentation library.

Priority: 2

2.3 Data Handling

2.3.1 General Data Requirements

OL-3.1-R1 The Package must support data taken in any of the standard ALMA hardware modes.

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R2 The Package shall be able to handle the integrated data objects corresponding to the observational programs carried out by ALMA. These objects may be implemented in any manner appropriate, though relations between the components of the object must be maintained through some mechanism. These include:

OL-3.1-R2.1 Program header information

OL-3.1-R2.2 Observation status information (and schedules themselves)



OL-3.1-R2.3 Field information

OL-3.1-R2.4 Data from interferometer and single-dish organized by:

OL-3.1-R2.4.1 position

OL-3.1-R2.4.2 subreflector state

OL-3.1-R2.4.3 polarization products

OL-3.1-R2.4.4 spectral channels

OL-3.1-R2.4.5 frequency bands

OL-3.1-R2.4.6 IFs

OL-3.1-R2.4.7 subarray including those of the ACA system (the TP Array and the 7m Array)

OL-3.1-R2.5 Coherence function (visibility) data from interferometer, including:

OL-3.1-R2.5.1 cross-correlations, including those between the 12m Array and ACA

OL-3.1-R2.5.2 auto-correlations

OL-3.1-R2.5.3 uncorrected and/or online WVR corrected (if chosen by user)

OL-3.1-R2.5.4 phased-array data (if chosen by user)

OL-3.1-R2.6 Total power (autocorrelation) data from antennas in single-dish mode

OL-3.1-R2.7 Weights and/or data uncertainties

OL-3.1-R2.8 States indicating special modes (such as ON/OFF positions), for:

OL-3.1-R2.8.1 OTF scanning

OL-3.1-R2.8.2 subreflector switching

OL-3.1-R2.8.3 frequency switching

OL-3.1-R2.9 Flagging data or masks

OL-3.1-R2.10 Diagnostic data and errors

OL-3.1-R2.11 A priori calibration data, including:



OL-3.1-R2.11.1 bandpasses

OL-3.1-R2.11.2 source flux densities

OL-3.1-R2.11.3 antenna polarization leakages

OL-3.1-R2.12 Derived calibration data, including:

OL-3.1-R2.12.1 gain tables

OL-3.1-R2.12.2 flux bootstraps

OL-3.1-R2.13 Images and/or models produced from data

OL-3.1-R2.14 Processing history

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R3 There must be a selection mechanism integrated within tools to choose between the various available data subsets such as:

OL-3.1-R3.1 sourcenames, and user specified data qualifiers (with wildcarding)

OL-3.1-R3.2 mosaic or scanning pointing centers

OL-3.1-R3.3 polarization products or channels

OL-3.1-R3.4 bands (frequency bands, IFs)

OL-3.1-R3.5 spectral channels

OL-3.1-R3.6 frequency-switched data

OL-3.1-R3.7 interferometer: subarrays

OL-3.1-R3.8 interferometer: WVR-corrected or uncorrected baselines

OL-3.1-R3.9 ACA: subarrays

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R4 Multiple pointing centers for mosaics must be supported.

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R5 For polarization products, transformation must be provided to the desired Stokes output parameter(s).



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 20

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R6 Averaging of data over time, bands and spectral channels shall be possible.

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R7 Data taken in arbitrary (but parameterized) scanning patterns must be dealt with.
(Requires elaboration)

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R8 All standard time systems shall be supported, including:

OL-3.1-R8.1 Universal Time (UT), also UT1

OL-3.1-R8.2 Coordinated Universal Time (UTC)

OL-3.1-R8.3 International Atomic Time (IAT)

OL-3.1-R8.4 Local Sidereal Time (LST)

OL-3.1-R8.5 Greenwich Mean Sidereal Time (GMST)

OL-3.1-R8.6 Julian Date (JD), also Modified Julian Date (MJD)

OL-3.1-R8.7 Dynamical Times (TDT, TDB)

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R9 All standard coordinate systems shall be supported, including:

OL-3.1-R9.1 equatorial (RA, DEC)

OL-3.1-R9.2 ecliptic (ELON, ELAT)

OL-3.1-R9.3 helioecliptic (HLON, HLAT)

OL-3.1-R9.4 galactic (GLON, GLAT)

OL-3.1-R9.5 supergalactic (SLON, SLAT)

OL-3.1-R9.6 terrestrial (AZ, EL)

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R10 All standard coordinate reference frames and equinoxes shall be supported,
including:



OL-3.1-R10.1 J2000 (and other FK5 equinoxes)

OL-3.1-R10.2 B1950 (and other FK4 equinoxes)

OL-3.1-R10.3 geocentric apparent place, coordinates of date

OL-3.1-R10.4 topocentric coordinates

OL-3.1-R10.5 International Celestial Reference System (ICRS)

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R11 All standard velocity definitions shall be supported, including:

OL-3.1-R11.1 radio

OL-3.1-R11.2 optical

OL-3.1-R11.3 redshift

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R12 All standard velocity frames shall be supported, including:

OL-3.1-R12.1 topocentric

OL-3.1-R12.2 geocentric

OL-3.1-R12.3 barycentric

OL-3.1-R12.4 heliocentric

OL-3.1-R12.5 kinematic LSR

OL-3.1-R12.6 dynamic LSR

OL-3.1-R12.7 galactocentric

OL-3.1-R12.8 local group

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R13 Coordinates and locations (e.g. of the antennas, or the array center) defined with respect to the standard frames shall be supported, including:

OL-3.1-R13.1 topocentric

OL-3.1-R13.2 geocentric

Priority: 1



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 22

OL-3.1-R14 Any existing flagging mask or table must be maintained and associated with the data it refers to during any subsequent operations (such as splitting of data sets).

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R14.1 The flagging mask or table shall be transferable to other equivalent data sets (e.g. flags derived for a continuum dataset should be transferable to a line dataset derived from the same observations).

Priority: 2

OL-3.1-R15 Calibration and ancillary monitoring data (e.g. weather information, WVR data, pointing) that are provided in standard ALMA formats must be preserved, if requested by the user.

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R16 Correlation products accumulated at multiple bit depths (16-bit,32-bit) or compressed data must be supported transparently (see ALMA-70.10.00.00-002-A-SPE <http://edm.alma.cl/forums/alma/dispatch.cgi/docapproval/docProfile/100798/d20040226162244/No/t100798.htm>).

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R17 Comprehensive and understandable processing history information for the data must be maintained and be exportable (both as tables and as plain text).

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R18 Users shall have access to, and the ability to change, all aspects of the data including the header.

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R19 The Package must support locking data files so that there is no possibility of one process corrupting a file that is also being written to by another process in the Package. The default model should be: -one writer, multiple readers.-

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R20 Merging (e.g. concatenation) and splitting of datasets shall supported:

OL-3.1-R20.1 Extraction of specified subsets of data (e.g. by source, time, subarray) shall be supported.

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R20.2 Merging and reinsertion of data subsets (e.g. combination of different



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 23

configurations, combination of the 12m Array and ACA, different epochs, mosaic pointings) shall be supported.

Priority: 2

OL-3.1-R20.3 The merging and splitting process, including selection of data to be merged (e.g. merging of frequency channels which may be differently labeled) shall be straightforward and not overly complex.

Priority: 2

OL-3.1-R20.4 The use of merged or split data in the Package shall be robust and transparent to the user. Subsequent operations shall work the same, whether the data is in its original form or built from merged data subsets, where possible.

Priority: 2

OL-3.1-R20.5 The appropriate calibration and ancillary monitoring data for the merged or split data (e.g. keeping only the data relevant to sources split out) shall be preserved.

Priority: 3

OL-3.1-R21 Distinctions between -single-source-, -multi-source-, single-dish, and interferometer datasets shall be avoided with context built into the dataset or header.

Priority: 2

OL-3.1-R22 Tools for access to header information, scan summaries (e.g. LISTR in AIPS), antenna locations (e.g. PRTAN in AIPS) shall be available, with printable output.

Priority: 2

OL-3.1-R23 When sorting or indexing is needed for performance enhancement, it shall be carried out in a manner transparent to the user.

Priority: 3

OL-3.1-R24 Compression of the data, with a selectable level of loss, shall be possible at various stages of the processing path.

Priority: 3

OL-3.1-R25 Data selection and handling issues specifically related to single dish processing:

While the software is running the user will usually have loaded multiple (possibly many) spectra each of which may have multiple IFs and polarizations. The user will want to be able to quickly flip from considering one spectra to another and, where relevant, want to perform



parallel processing on multiple spectra at once (e.g., baselining a sequence of on/off observations of the same source which will later be averaged together). To do this, the following capabilities are needed:

OL-3.1-R25.1 Spectra selection: The software needs an easy-to-use mechanism to select either individual or multiple spectra for viewing, parallel processing, etc.

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R25.2 Polarization/IF selection: An easy-to-use mechanism to select individual IFs or polarizations is needed.

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R25.3 Interactive channel selection: The range of spectral points to use for, e.g., baseline removal, statistical calculations, RFI editing or analysis must be easily set by the user from both the CLI and GUI. From the CLI there must be the option of setting the range using a variety of units (channel number, velocity, frequency). The selection range will probably not be a contiguous set of channels but many sets of disjointed channel ranges. For some tasks (such as baseline subtraction and statistical values) the channel range should be retained and be available as a plot overlay.

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R24.4 Auto-identify reference spectra: When performing sky subtraction on many spectra simultaneously, the software should have a mechanism for identifying “on” and “off” spectra and automatically selecting the signal and *reference* spectra based on header information. The algorithm needs to cope with on/off/on/off sequences as well as off/on/on/off sequences. User specified preference such as “closest in time” to “first reference before source” should be accommodated.

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R25.5 Select source via header values: The software should be able to select sets of sources based on simple regular expression type filtering (wild cards) on a range of header values.

Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R25.6 Single dish Meta-data: A comprehensive set of header data should be read from the input data files. The user may wish to enter some specific values by hand.

OL-3.1-R25.6.1 View and edit header data: All header data (except the processing history) should be viewable and editable by the user. This includes changes such as scaling the given Tsys values.



Priority: 1

OL-3.1-R25.6.2 User add missing header data: The user must be able to add missing header data. It must be possible to add the same header data to multiple scans simultaneously.

Priority: 2

2.3.2 Data Import and Export

OL-3.2-R1 A variety of data formats must be supported by the Package:

OL-3.2-R1.1 The ALMA standard archival data format must be supported for input without loss of functionality or information (includes both interferometric and ACA single-dish data format).

Priority: 1

OL-3.2-R1.2 Other standard formats **as designated by the ALMA Project** shall also be supported for both input and output without loss of functionality or information.

These include:

OL-3.2-R1.2.1 Standard image FITs files

Priority 1

OL-3.2-R1.2.2 uv FITs

Priority 1

OL-3.2-R2.2.3 Single dish FITs

Priority: 2

OL-3.2-R1.3 Other popular data formats may be supported as the Package sees fit, possibly with some loss in information content. Flexibility and wider use beyond ALMA is to be encouraged.

Priority: 3

OL-3.2-R2 Disk and offline data storage (e.g. DAT, DDS, DLT) must be supported. **The project will maintain a list of media which the Package must support.**

Priority: 1

OL-3.2-R3 The Package must be able to handle, efficiently and gracefully, datasets larger than



main memory of the host system.

Priority: 1

OL-3.2-R4 The ability to drop flagged data on export shall be included.

Priority: 2

OL-3.2-R5 The Package internal data format, which may be independent of other supported formats, must not be -bloated- and the required storage should not exceed by more than 1.5× the raw data format.

Priority: 2

OL-3.2-R6 Append single dish output files: It must be possible to append single dish spectra to existing output files (e.g. SD-FITs)

Priority: 2

OL-3.2-R7 Coordinate frames and units for single dish data export

OL-3.2-R7.1 Positional Reference frames: The user should be able to specify the reference frame (e.g. epoch, equinox) used for exporting data and simple mapping output. The default should be the frame in which the data was recorded. The following reference frames should be supported, e.g.:

OL-3.2-R7.1.1 Equatorial coordinates at J2000 and B1950

OL-3.2-R7.1.2 Galactic coordinates (version 1958 as defined by Blaauw et al. (1960, MNRAS, 121, 10)

OL-3.2-R7.1.3 Ecliptic coordinates at an assigned epoch

Priority: 1

OL-3.2-R7.2 Non-conformist positional reference frames: Non-conformist positional frames such as Az-El should be supported

Priority: 2

OL-3.2-R7.3 Standard projections: The user should be able to specify the projection with the assigned reference position used for exporting data and simple mapping output. The default should be the projection for which the data was recorded. The following projections should be supported:

OL-3.2-R7.3.1 Sine Projection (SIN)

OL-3.2-R7.3.2 Flamsteed (Global sinusoidal, GLS) projection



Priority: 1

- OL-3.2-R7.3.3** tangent or gnomonic projection (TAN)
- OL-3.2-R7.3.4** Stereographic projection
- OL-3.2-R7.3.5** Equidistant cylindrical projection
- OL-3.2-R7.3.6** Mercator's projection
- OL-3.2-R7.3.7** Hammer's projection
- OL-3.2-R7.3.8** Aitoff's projection
- OL-3.2-R7.3.9** Homographic projection, should be supported.

Priority: 2

- OL-3.2-R7.4** Any coordinate and projection defined by the World Coordinate System (WCS) and supported by FITS format should be supported (see Greisen & Calabretta 2002, A&A, 395, 1061 and Calabretta & Greisen 2002, A&A, 395, 1077).

Priority: 3

2.3.3 Images and Other Data Products

OL-3.3-R1 Standard multi-dimensional images and arrays must be supported, including:

OL-3.3-R1.1 Spectra and image slices (1D)

Priority: 1

OL-3.3-R1.2 Planar images (2D)

Priority: 1

OL-3.3-R1.3 Spectral and Time Cubes (3D)

Priority: 1

OL-3.3-R1.4 Higher-dimensional Arrays (4D)

Priority: 2

OL-3.3-R2 Other standard derived data products must be supported, including:

OL-3.3-R2.1 Point (CLEAN) models

Priority: 1



OL-3.3-R2.2 Pixel (gridded image) models

Priority: 1

OL-3.3-R2.3 Elliptical Gaussian models

Priority: 1

OL-3.3-R2.4 Uniform (optically thick) disk models

Priority: 2

OL-3.3-R2.5 Optically thin disk models

Priority: 2

OL-3.3-R2.6 wavelets

Priority: 3

OL-3.3-R2.7 Pixons

Priority: 3

OL-3.3-R3 Blanking of pixels shall be maintained through the processing of images.

Priority: 1

OL-3.3-R4 The package shall support all standard projections, including:

OL-3.3-R4.1 sine or slant orthographic (SIN)

OL-3.3-R4.2 tangent or gnomonic (TAN)

OL-3.3-R4.3 cylindrical plate carrée (CAR)

OL-3.3-R4.4 Mercator (MER)

OL-3.3-R4.5 stereographic (STG)

OL-3.3-R4.6 Hammer-Aitoff (AIT)

Priority: 2

2.3.4 Foreign Data

OL-3.4-R1 Data produced by other interferometers and single dishes in similar observing modes shall be importable and processable if provided in **ALMA standard archival data format** or an **ALMA supported data format**



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 29

Priority: 2

OL-3.4-R2 Imaging data in standard formats (e.g. FITS) from astronomical instruments at different wavelengths shall be importable, with the ability to combine (coadd) these with ALMA data where appropriate. This should be through a set of widely used formats, with a **minimal list of supported standards established by the project.**

Priority: 2

2.3.5 Interaction with the Archive

OL-3.5-R1 Access from the archive (when such access is granted, e.g. when Package is run by ALMA staff) must be supported.

Priority: 1

OL-3.5-R2 The interface between the Package and archive must be able to provide data access (when such access is granted) without interfering with other access to the archive.

Priority: 1

OL-3.5-R3 Security and integrity of the archive must be ensured during these operations.

Priority: 1

2.4 Calibration and Editing

2.4.1 General Calibration and Editing Requirements

OL-4.1-R1 The Package must be able to handle reliably all designated **ALMA standard calibration modes**, possibly including but not exclusive to: temperature controlled loads, WVR data, noise injection, fast-switching calibration transfer, planetary observations. (deleted: semi-transparent vanes, apex calibration systems.)

Priority: 1

OL-4.1-R2 Calibration, editing, flagging, and correction of data shall be easily reversible within the Package (i.e. not requiring re-reading of the data from the archive).

Priority: 1

OL-4.1-R2.1 Logging of editing steps will be clearly marked in a history table or data object (possibly distinct from a more readable history).

Priority: 2

OL-4.1-R2.2 Individual edit undo is desirable.

Priority: 3



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 30

OL-4.1-R3 Data calibration operations shall take into account the scan structure and switching scheme of the data. The user shall be able to request calibration solution intervals that correspond to and reference from scan boundaries, for example.

Priority: 1

OL-4.1-R4 Data editing and flagging shall be possible based upon array, environmental, astronomical, and calibration monitoring data, including:

OL-4.1-R4.1 pointing data

Priority: 1

OL-4.1-R4.2 array tracking information (encoders)

Priority: 1

OL-4.1-R4.3 weather data (wind speed, temperature, relative humidity, pressure)

Priority: 1

OL-4.1-R4.4 Tsys data

Priority: 1

OL-4.1-R4.5 WVR data

Priority: 1

OL-4.1-R4.6 RFI monitoring

Priority: 2

OL-4.1-R4.7 site-test interferometer (STI) and/or tipping radiometer

Priority: 2

OL-4.1-R4.8 array monitoring points (e.g. dewar temperatures) if data provided in standard format

Priority: 3

OL-4.1-R4.9 other site instrument (e.g. FTS) providing data in standard format

Priority: 3

OL-4.1-R5 Calibration shall involve flexible averaging of data and calibration quantities with user-controllable interpolation, filtering, weighting, and application scope.



Priority: 1

OL-4.1-R6 Interactive data editing, calibration, and display of calibration quantities shall be largely graphical and intuitive. Specialized editing display tools should include:

OL-4.1-R6.1 specification of data by selection on observational parameters, and/or plotting versus these parameters, including:

- OL-4.1-R6.1.1** antenna
- OL-4.1-R6.1.2** baseline
- OL-4.1-R6.1.3** time range
- OL-4.1-R6.1.4** uv range
- OL-4.1-R6.1.5** pointing center
- OL-4.1-R6.1.6** azimuth, elevation
- OL-4.1-R6.1.7** hour angle range
- OL-4.1-R6.1.8** parallactic angle
- OL-4.1-R6.1.9** slice through data cube

OL-4.1-R6.2 displays of spectra and spectral cubes

OL-4.1-R6.3 display of closure quantities

OL-4.1-R6.4 display of and selection on monitor data quantities (e.g. Tatm, Tamb)

OL-4.1-R6.5 the above with baseline, time, band and/or channel averaging

OL-4.1-R6.6 for interferometer data, amplitude (phase) vs. time on each baseline (Difmap vplot), vs. time-baseline (AIPS TVFLG) with interactive zoom, selection, and clipping

OL-4.1-R6.7 editing of data points based on statistical quantities, including:

- OL-4.1-R6.7.1** a data point versus a running mean over a timescale
- OL-4.1-R6.7.2** a data point versus a median over a timescale
- OL-4.1-R6.7.3** an rms scatter in a time range
- OL-4.1-R6.7.4** difference versus a model

OL-4.1-R6.8 auto-scaling and user-specified scaling of axes



OL-4.1-R6.9 auto-scaled and user-specified colormap or greyscale

OL-4.1-R6.10 inclusion and marking of flagged data in plots and in auto-scaling

Priority: 1

OL-4.1-R7 Non-interactive and automated editing tools shall be available in the Package, including:

OL-4.1-R7.1 direct editing of data based user-specified ranges for quantities available for plotting or editing in interactive mode

Priority: 1

OL-4.1-R7.2 automated editing with tunable criteria for automated selection of parameter ranges

Priority: 2

OL-4.1-R8 Data calibration, correction and flagging shall be possible based upon standard or user-defined models in either functional or tabular form. Arbitrary user-specified scaling of data shall be simple.

Priority: 2

OL-4.1-R9 Access to time history of calibration information (e.g. source catalogs containing flux density histories) shall be built into calibration engines. Output of calibration procedures shall be exportable into similar structures.

Priority: 2

OL-4.1-R10 The Package shall support the establishment and verification of the relative calibration of the various component epochs, configurations or other subsets for merged datasets.

Priority: 2

OL-4.1-R11 Data display and editing shall be effected through generic tools applicable to both single-dish and interferometer modes. These shall, as far as possible, present similar interfaces to the user and have the same look-and-feel.

Priority: 2

OL-4.1-R12 Editing shall be incorporated into most visualization tools where data or data-derived quantities are plotted, such as from calibration solutions, amplitude vs. uv-distance plots, or any number of other plots. A -see-it, flag-it- capability shall be the standard within the tools.



Priority: 2

2.4.2 Atmospheric Calibration

OL-4.2-R1 Atmospheric modeling shall be available in the Package. The ALMA Project shall provide a standard model as used in the Pipeline processing of data which the package must support. There shall be provision for the use of user-supplied models, or the package may have its own built-in models as an option.

Priority: 1

OL-4.2-R2 The Package shall be able to predict the absorption, emission and path length on the line of sight through the atmosphere at all ALMA bands using the model. The prediction will be based on the following data:

OL-4.2-R2.1 measured atmospheric parameters at the site: temperature, pressure, humidity

Priority: 1

OL-4.2-R2.2 measured atmospheric emission in the observed ALMA bands

Priority: 1

OL-4.2-R2.3 data from site test interferometer or tipping radiometer

Priority: 2

OL-4.2-R2.4 measured FTS data (if FTS available for ALMA)

Priority: 3

OL-4.2-R2.5 measured atmospheric profiles of temperature and water content if available from atmospheric sounders or other devices

Priority: 3

OL-4.2-R3 Atmospheric modeling shall be usable to derive the system temperatures corrected for atmospheric absorption in all astronomical bands in use, in order to correct the observed amplitudes at various elevations.

Priority: 1

OL-4.2-R4 Atmospheric modeling shall be also usable to provide the conversion factors between WVR data and the water contribution to the astronomical phase in the astronomical bands.

Priority: 1

2.4.3 Interferometer Data



Note: Antenna-based determination of calibration quantities such as gains, polarization leakages, bandpasses, will be the primary form of calibration where appropriate. However, in addition to antenna-based calibration, baseline dependent corrections will be important in some cases. For example, coherence loss due to atmospheric phase fluctuation depends on baseline length (this aspect will be more important at higher frequencies) and must be taken into account if some of the WVR corrections are discarded while others are applied. Also, in general, the bandpasses are baseline dependent and contain non-closing terms.

OL-4.3-R1 Antenna-based determination of calibration quantities shall be available, and are the default choice for calibration in tools where appropriate, for quantities including:

OL-4.3-R1.1 antenna gains

OL-4.3-R1.2 polarization leakages

OL-4.3-R1.3 antenna-dependent bandpasses

Priority: 1

OL-4.3-R2 Baseline dependent corrections shall be supported for quantities including:

OL-4.3-R2.1 WVR corrections to subsets of baselines

OL-4.3-R2.2 closure errors

OL-4.3-R2.3 baseline-dependent bandpasses

Priority: 1

OL-4.3-R3 Gain corrections will be made based on differences between observed and modeled data quantities, possibly with iteration (e.g. self-calibration and determination of gains using calibration sources). Where solutions are discrepant or poor, automatic editing shall be possible.

Priority: 1

OL-4.3-R4 Calibration quantities (possibly stored in tables or data structures) shall be transferable between sources and/or frequency bands, after any necessary interpolation, extrapolation or smoothing. This will be the primary method of phase calibration transfer using fast-switching between source and calibrator.

Priority: 1

OL-4.3-R5 Determination of the time-variable, complex bandpass using calibration source observations, and transfer to target sources, shall be simple and robust.

Priority: 1



OL-4.3-R6 Determination of polarization calibration quantities such as leakage (D-term or Jones matrix) and complex gain difference shall be an integral part of the Package, with the capability of performing full matrix calculations.

Priority: 1

OL-4.3-R7 Determination of, correction for, examination of, and flagging based on closure errors shall be straightforward to carry out.

Priority: 2

OL-4.3-R8 Incorporation of standard models (e.g. planetary disks, models for HII region structure, known source spectra) shall be easy for calibration operations. User-supplied models in a standard format (e.g. ASCII table) for these quantities shall be supported.

Priority: 2

OL-4.3-R9 Redundancy (e.g. same, similar, or crossing baselines) shall be used wherever possible to increase accuracy of or to check calibration solutions. Editing based on this comparison shall be possible.

Priority: 2

OL-4.3-R10 Interferometric pointing, focus, baseline, and beam response fitting shall be available in the Package as a supplement to the on-line calibration.

Priority: 3

2.4.4 Single Dish Data

OL-4.4-R1 Straightforward and flexible fitting of spectral bandpass from calibration source observations is required. Spectral bandpass fitting, or baseline removal, is needed to correct for imperfections in the sky subtraction. Depending on the stability of the system, the residual spectral baseline errors can be small or quite large. Baseline removal is usually done by fitting a function to the (user specified) line-free channels.

Priority: 1

OL-4.4-R1.1 Standing wave ripples: Removal of standing wave ripples should be done by fitting a Sine function to the line-free channels

Priority: 3

OL-4.4-R1.2 Robust fitting: “Robust” fitting functions should be available, which are more tolerant to RFI.

Priority: 3



OL-4.4-R2 De-stripping and adjustment of scan normalization factors must be available for single-dish OTF observations with overlapping and crossing scans.

Priority: 1

OL-4.4-R3 Calibration of system parameters such as temperature controlled loads and noise sources from observations of celestial sources shall be supported.

Priority: 3

OL-4.4-R4 Processing for pointing, focus, tipping, or beam-fitting data must be available for both single-scan calibration observations and for multiple datasets.

Priority: 3

OL-4.4-R5 ACA Phase Calibration

The ACA phase calibration uses all ACA antennas (four 12m antennas and 12 7m antennas), and the data reliabilities for the 7m-7m and the 7m-12m baselines may be different. The package needs to handle such datasets.

Priority: 1

OL-4.4-R6 Sky subtraction: To remove the effects of the passband filter shape and atmospheric fluctuations across the band, sky subtraction must be performed on the data. The software must be able to do sky subtraction using position switching (reference spectra), nutator switching and frequency switching techniques:

OL-4.4-R6.1 Arbitrary reference: The user should be able to specify an arbitrarily complex reference/source order (which repeats), which can then be used to perform multiple sky subtractions in parallel.

Priority: 2

OL-4.4-R6.2 Position switching: Position switched sky subtractions should be supported.

Priority: 1

OL-4.4-R6.3 Nutator switching: Nutator switched sky subtractions should be supported.

Priority: 1

OL-4.4-R6.4 Frequency switching: Frequency switched sky subtractions should be supported (Ref. Liszt, 1997, A&AS, 124, 183).

Priority: 2



OL-4.4-R6.5 Tsys variation with frequency: The software should handle all basic system temperature (Tsys) and gain calibration as well as opacity corrections where relevant. The actual application of the Tsys factor should be applied as part of the sky subtraction. The units of Tsys may be either in Jy or Kelvin which will affect how the data is calibrated.

For wideband observations, the software should have the option to handle Tsys which varies across the band. This will affect the sky subtraction algorithms.

Priority: 3

OL-4.4-R7 ACA Total Power Calibration

Sky subtraction methods (position switching, frequency switching and nutator switching) combined with slow OTF should be supported in order to make the residual sky brightness sufficiently low.

Priority: 1

OL-4.4-R8 Support polarimetry for single dish: All functions on the data (e.g. calibration, sky subtraction) must support polarization.

OL-4.4-R8.1 Polarization leakages: The software should be able to calculate leakage terms from a calibrator source and correct the data either before or after conversion to Stokes (Ref Johnston, 2002, PASA, 19, 277).

Priority: 2

OL-4.4-R8.2 Calibrate position angle: The software should be able to determine absolute position angle from a calibrator source and correct the data either before or after conversion to Stokes.

Priority: 2

OL-4.4-R9 Single dish-specific editing: In a data set with many observations, individual spectra may be corrupted or the data may be affected by RFI and “birdies.” The user needs to be able to easily flag individual spectra or channels. This may affect other routines such as sky-subtractions, as this will disrupt the reference/source sequence.

OL-4.4-R9.1 Spectra flagging: The user must be able to set an entire spectra or part thereof (e.g. individual polarization, IF) as being invalid.

Priority: 1

OL-4.4-R9.2 Channel flagging: The user must be able to indicate an individual spectral point or range of spectral points are invalid. This should be applied to an individual spectrum, or set of spectra.



Priority: 1

OL-4.4-R9.2.1 Flagged channel interpolation: When plotting or processing single dish data (e.g. quotient spectra), the user should be able to request that the values for flagged data are obtained by interpolation from good data on either side of the flagged points.

Priority: 2

OL-4.4-R9.3 Plot average flux vs time: The user should be able to plot the average spectral flux across the band, or part of the band, as a function of time and interactively select sections of data which should be marked as invalid (similar to IBLED in classic aips).

Priority: 3

OL-4.4-R9.4 Robust fitting: Where relevant, fitting routines etc. should have the option of selecting RFI-tolerant (“robust”) algorithms. This will require investigation of alternate fitting routines other than the least-squares approach.

Priority: 3

OL-4.4-R9.5 Birdie finder: A routine to automatically find birdies or RFI corrupted data and indicate the data as invalid would be useful.

Priority: 3

2.4.5 Mosaicing Considerations

OL-4.5-R1 Determination of and correction for pointing offsets and the polarized primary beam is critical to the ability to reliably mosaic using ALMA, and thus must be available in the Package, preferably in several algorithmic forms.

Priority: 1

OL-4.5-R2 Careful cross calibration of the flux scales between ALMA interferometric data and single dish data is required for high fidelity imaging. There must be tools to cross-check and correct the relative calibration between mosaics and different component observations. *Note: this is particularly important and more difficult for ACA data.*

Priority: 2

OL-4.5-R3 The Package shall allow user-input of scan information given a parameterized pattern or interpolated from a provided list of pointing centers versus time

Priority: 3



2.4.6 Ancillary and Diagnostic Data

OL-4.6-R1 Output from the atmospheric monitoring (e.g. WVR, FTS) instrumentation provided in ALMA format shall be importable to the calibration software, for example to be used in flagging.

Priority: 1

OL-4.6-R2 Derivation of calibration and correction of data based on pointing, focus and subreflector status information shall be available in the Package.

Priority: 1

OL-4.6-R3 Environmental data such as weather (e.g. wind speed, temperature, dew point) supplied in ALMA format, as FITS extension tables, or as ASCII tables shall be importable for editing or calibration procedures, and easily incorporated into user-specified calibration models.

Priority: 2

OL-4.6-R4 Engineering monitoring information such as temperature sensor readings and tilt-meter outputs, included in ALMA format, as FITS extension tables, or as ASCII tables, shall be readable and incorporated into the calibration and editing process.

Priority: 3

2.5 Imaging

2.5.1 General Imaging Requirements

Because ALMA is inherently a multi-channel instrument, spectral cube mapping shall be built in as the primary mode from the beginning. Also, due to the high volume of data that can be produced by ALMA, it is imperative that the imaging and deconvolution tools in the Package be user-friendly, efficient, and flexible. This is the workhorse of the Package as far as most users will be concerned, and suitability and success of the Package will be judged with this in mind.

OL-5.1-R1 Imaging of data taken from any combination of ALMA exported data, the ALMA archive, or other instruments supporting common export formats must be provided. **A list of supported data and formats will be maintained by the project.**

Priority: 1

OL-5.1-R2 Efficient selection of subsets of the imaging data must be provided.

Priority: 1

OL-5.1-R3 Provision must be made for the utilization and development of a variety of imaging, deconvolution, and analysis algorithms, including:



- OL-5.1-R3.1** raw (-dirty-) images with selectable weighting (natural, uniform, Briggs robust)
Priority: 1
- OL-5.1-R3.2** residual images after model subtraction
Priority: 1
- OL-5.1-R3.3** single-scale CLEAN (Hogbom, Clark, Cotton-Schwab)
Priority: 1
- OL-5.1-R3.4** maximum entropy method (MEM)
Priority: 1
- OL-5.1-R3.5** linear mosaics
Priority: 1
- OL-5.1-R3.6** non-linear mosaics
Priority: 1
- OL-5.1-R3.7** non-negative least-squares (NNLS)
Priority: 2
- OL-5.1-R3.8** multi-scale CLEAN
Priority: 2
- OL-5.1-R3.9** modelfitting (point, Gaussian, disk)
Priority: 2
- OL-5.1-R3.10** multi-frequency synthesis with different spectral models
Priority: 2
- OL-5.1-R3.11** special function deconvolution (Pixon, wavelet)
Priority: 3
- OL-5.1-R4** Image pixel and spectral channel blanking must be supported.
Priority: 1
- OL-5.1-R5** Interactive graphical selection of deconvolution region masks shall be available.



Priority: 1

OL-5.1-R6 Multiple input datasets shall be supported directly in the tools, rather than requiring previous concatenation of the data.

Priority: 2

OL-5.1-R7 An integrated deconvolution, self-calibration, and editing/filtering tool shall be available, especially for novice users with data taken in commonly used modes.

Priority: 2

OL-5.1-R8 Images made on different coordinate systems (e.g. OL-3.1-R9), equinoxes (e.g. OL-3.1-R10), or projections (e.g. OL-3.3-R4) shall be transformed, merged and compared appropriately.

Priority: 2

OL-5.1-R9 Image cubes using different velocity definitions (e.g. OL-3.1-R11) and velocity frames (e.g. OL-3.1-R12) shall be transformed and merged correctly.

Priority: 2

2.5.2 Interferometer Imaging

OL-5.2-R1 High-fidelity imaging of the entire primary beam in all Stokes parameters is the primary goal - therefore, incorporation of the polarized primary beam response of the array is required.

Priority: 1

OL-5.2-R2 Imaging of direct polarization products (e.g. RR, LL, RL, LR) or Stokes polarization states (e.g. I, Q, U, V) must be selectable and interchangeable where possible given the data.

Priority: 1

OL-5.2-R3 There must be straightforward and seamless integration of data from multiple epochs and configurations.

Priority: 1

OL-5.2-R4 Simultaneous multiple-field imaging and deconvolution must be supported.

Priority: 1

OL-5.2-R5 Subtraction of continuum level from spectral data is required, in both the Fourier and image domain. In the case of uv-plane subtraction, flexible setting of the frequency channel ranges for the calculation of the continuum level and selection of the type of fitting (polynomial, spline) should be available in both GUI and CLI.



Priority: 1

OL-5.2-R6 There must be the ability to include -zero-spacing- values and short-spacing data taken in single-dish mode (both ALMA and non-ALMA data), with selectable weighting.

Priority: 1

OL-5.2-R7 There shall be the choice of FFT and DFT imaging (especially for small datasets).

Priority: 2

OL-5.2-R8 There shall be the provision for the near-field imaging of solar-system objects. This can be done through the introduction of phase corrections based upon the sphericity of the incoming wave front.

Priority: 2

2.5.3 Mosaicing and Single Dish Imaging Considerations

OL-5.3-R1 Combination of interferometer and single-dish data into mosaic imaging is essential.

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R1.1 Cross Correlations between the 12m Array and ACA must be supported

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R2 Careful (polarized) primary beam correction and pointing correction is critical for high fidelity mosaic imaging and must be incorporated into the mosaicing algorithms.

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R2.1 The primary beam calculation and correction must take into account the effect of on-the-fly scanning.

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R2.2 A set of **ALMA standard beam images** will be made available by the project and distributed with the Package, with updates available for download when appropriate.

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R2.3 The user shall be able to specify the primary beam in a number of forms, both analytic and tabular, in addition to the ALMA provided primary beam.

Priority: 2



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 43

OL-5.3-R3 Image plane and uv-plane gridding parameters and interpolation shall be selectable based on desired image criteria (e.g. field-of-view, accuracy)

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R4 Scaling and de-stripping of scans on image-plane combination and of OTF cubes shall be available. The latter is needed if calibration errors exist.

Priority: 1 (changed from 2)

OL-5.3-R4.1 Initial de-stripping using spatial baselines created from map regions without emission must be available.

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R4.2 Defining and implementing algorithms to get out residual striping shall also be available.

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R5 The Package must be able to produce an image by combining data observed on different rasters, possibly taken with different (regular or irregular) spacings and image centers.

Priority: 2

OL-5.3-R6 Pointing corrections (e.g. as determined by optical cameras or through monitoring data) shall be applicable to the data during imaging

Priority: 2

OL-5.3-R7 The imaging tools shall allow the option for the mitigation of the effects of non-coplanar baselines and sky curvature.

Priority: 2

OL-5.3-R8 Simple single dish mapping: It should be possible to grid single dish data into a cube if desired. Analysis of this cube would be done using CASA functionality.

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R9 It is required to adopt an appropriate mosaic imaging logic for the heterogeneous arrays, i.e. when combining visibility data taken by the 12m and the 7m antennas. Especially it is important to adjust weights, that are applied for the visibility data, according to difference



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 44

of beam size, and to coordinate scaling factors for each field of view. These adjusting and coordination need to be performed not only automatically but manually.

Priority: 1

The mosaic algorithms should be able to handle heterogeneous arrays in:

OL-5.3-R9.1 Image feathering: Specifically, the weights associated with 7m-7m, 12m-12m, and 7m-12m baselines and single dish data should be handled automatically to achieve the optimal feathered image.

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R9.2 Full Joint Deconvolution – manual weighting: It should be easy to manually scale the single dish data weights before deconvolution if needed (e.g. a single command to scale the weights of the single dish data and synthesis data).

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R9.3 Full Joint Deconvolution – automatic weighting: The offline package should be able to determine the best weighting between single dish and synthesis data and automatically weight the data during joint deconvolution. The heuristics to define the best weights are not expected to be available during early operations.

Priority: 2

OL-5.3-R10 Since there are several proposed algorithms for deconvolution of mosaics with mixed antenna sizes, it is desirable that the offline system provides a list of such algorithms for users to select an appropriate algorithm in taking observational conditions into account.

Priority: 2

OL-5.3-R11 Different primary beam patterns shall be handled.

OL-5.3-R11.1 Two primary beam patterns typical for the 12m antennas and the 7m antennas

Priority: 1

OL-5.3-R11.2 Package is desirable to be extensible to handle every beam pattern per antenna

Priority: 3



2.6 Data Analysis

2.6.1 General Analysis Requirements

OL-6.1-R1 Seamless transformation between image-plane and uv-plane analysis is necessary:

OL-6.1-R1.1 Analysis based on goodness-of-fit to models, in both uv-plane (for interferometry) and image plane, shall be available.

Priority: 1

OL-6.1-R1.2 Fourier transform of images between angular and uv domains shall be available.

Priority: 2

OL-6.1-R2 Spectral and scanning baseline removal facility is required:

OL-6.1-R2.1 Polynomial baseline fitting shall be supported

Priority: 1

OL-6.1-R2.2 Baseline fitting based on image statistics (e.g. median filtering) shall be supported

Priority: 1

OL-6.1-R2.3 Fourier analysis of standing waves and their removal from spectra shall be available.

Priority: 2

OL-6.1-R3 Translation between various astronomical quantities and units shall be available, including:

OL-6.1-R3.1 flux density - Jy, mJy, microJy, mag

OL-6.1-R3.2 temperature - K, mK (Rayleigh-Jeans, Planck)

OL-6.1-R3.3 surface brightness - Jy/beam, Jy/sr, MJy/sr, mag/arcsec²

OL-6.1-R3.4 frequency - Hz, MHz, GHz, cm⁻¹ (wave number)

OL-6.1-R3.5 velocity - km/s, m/s, z (redshift)

OL-6.1-R3.6 wavelength - m, cm, mm

Priority: 2



OL-6.1-R4 The astronomer must have the capability to develop their own tools or tasks, with easy access to data and images, and straightforward interface with the Package.

Priority: 2

2.6.2 Spectral Line Analysis

ALMA will be a spectroscopic machine, and thus the ease and flexibility of spectral line analysis is likely to be a driver for the Package. Automatic and user-controlled fitting routines will need to be included. Note that there is necessarily some overlap with the image cube analysis, but here we concentrate on issues relevant to traditional 1-D spectra.

OL-6.2-R1 Automatic and user-controlled measurement of line parameters shall be available.

Priority: 1

OL-6.2-R2 It shall be possible for the user to specify a velocity or frequency windowing region for line fitting.

Priority: 1

OL-6.2-R3 Available line fitting parameters and profiles shall include:

OL-6.2-R3.1 Gaussian line parameters (central and integrated intensity, line width, line center) for single or multiple lines

Priority: 1

OL-6.2-R3.2 Damping profiles (Lorentzian)

Priority: 2

OL-6.2-R4 A set of **ALMA standard line catalogs** shall be made available by the project and distributed with the Package, with updates available for download when appropriate.

Priority: 1

OL-6.2-R5 User importable line catalogs shall be supported by the Package as an ASCII table and in the **ALMA standard format**.

Priority: 2

OL-6.2-R6 Setting of fit constraints (e.g. spacing for multiple lines) shall be available and flexible (i.e. by GUI or by parameter list).

Priority: 2



OL-6.2-R7 Export of fit results in ASCII-format is desirable.

Priority: 3

2.6.3 Image Cube Analysis and Manipulation

Because ALMA is inherently a multi-channel instrument, and due to the design of the ALMA correlator, spectral image cube can be considered to be the fundamental image structure. Single-channel or continuum images can be considered as subsets or instances of cubes. Note that the ability to pull lower-dimensional structures from larger-dimensional cubes is especially important.

OL-6.3-R1 The Package shall support the construction and analysis of image cubes with a variety of axis choices, including:

OL-6.3-R1.1 time (e.g. OL-3.1-R8)

OL-6.3-R1.2 position (e.g. OL-3.1-R9 or general X,Y)

OL-6.3-R1.3 Fourier (u,v)

OL-6.3-R1.4 channel (frequency, velocity, channel number, band, IF)

OL-6.3-R1.5 polarization

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R2 Basic cube rotation and transposition operations shall be available, including rotation not orthogonal to cube faces.

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R3 It shall be possible to define multi-dimensional regions of the cube for further processing, both interactively and by specifying coordinates manually.

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R4 Identification and reporting of image features (e.g. as determined in processing operations) shall be available, and interactive (where appropriate). Features shall include:

OL-6.3-R4.1 output in pixel coordinates (e.g. row, column)

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R4.2 output in world coordinates (e.g. RA, Dec, Vel)

Priority: 1



OL-6.3-R4.3 convertible to other supported coordinate systems (e.g. precessed to B1950)

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R4.4 exportable (e.g. as an ASCII file)

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R5 The ability to extract same or lower-dimensional structures from higher-dimensional data cubes efficiently is required:

OL-6.3-R5.1 Extraction of -cubical- sub-structures aligned with the original cube axes must be straightforward.

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R5.2 User selection of extraction criteria must be possible through the GUI as well as scriptable.

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R5.3 User-selectable sub-structures with arbitrary orientation within the parent cube, with appropriate transformation or interpolation, shall be possible.

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R5.4 Extraction of data structures based on standard database (e.g. SQL) queries shall be available.

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R6 The ability to collapse or integrate over sub-dimensions of data cubes in order to form -moments- is required. This shall be possible along any direction(s) in the cube aligned with the axes.

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R6.1 Moments along arbitrary user-specified directions in the cube shall be possible.

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R7 The Package must have the capability of assembling lower-dimensional data structures into higher-dimension cubes.

Priority: 1



OL-6.3-R8 Blanking of pixels must be maintained through the analysis process.

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R8.1 It must be possible to turn on and off different blanking (mask) levels, when blanking is set within the Package.

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R8.2 Blanking shall not be destructive, and the original pixel value is retained (if defined).

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R8.3 Interactive and automatic facilities for setting of any blanking parameters shall be provided.

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9 A variety of image processing and filtering operations on the cube shall be available, including:

OL-6.3-R9.1 Smoothing and convolution, with kernels including:

OL-6.3-R9.1.1 Uniform (box-car or top-hat) kernel

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.1.2 Elliptical Gaussian kernel

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.1.3 Symmetric polynomial

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.1.4 User-supplied

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R9.2 Clipping

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.3 Windowing and boxing, with definitions of regions of interest recordable and passable for subsequent use

Priority: 1



OL-6.3-R9.4 Arithmetical operations, including:

OL-6.3-R9.4.1 addition of uniform level

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.4.2 multiplicative scaling

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.4.3 logarithm

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.4.4 exponential

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.5 Statistical operations over a defined region, including:

OL-6.3-R9.5.1 mean

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.5.2 rms

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.5.3 standard deviation from mean

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.5.4 median

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.5.5 mode

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.6 Fourier and correlation operations on cube or cubical sub-regions, including:

OL-6.3-R9.6.1 Fourier transform

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.6.2 power spectrum

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.6.3 autocorrelation



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 51

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.6.4 structure function

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.7 Scalar arithmetic between different regions (including treatment of masked regions and differently shaped regions), including:

OL-6.3-R9.7.1 sum (difference)

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.7.2 product (division)

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.7.3 spectral index

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.7.4 median

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.7.5 maximum (minimum)

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.8 Construction and comparison of vector quantities in the cube, including:

OL-6.3-R9.8.1 polarization (E) vector at each cube pixel

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.8.2 rotation measure between different frequencies

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.9 Vector arithmetic between different regions (including treatment of masked regions and differently shaped regions), including:

OL-6.3-R9.9.1 sum (difference)

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.9.2 dot product

Priority: 2



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 52

OL-6.3-R9.9.3 cross product

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.10 Interpolation across blanked or masked regions

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.11 Fitting of models, shapes, profiles and functions over regions including:

OL-6.3-R9.11.1 polynomials

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.11.2 exponentials

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.11.3 Fourier modes

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.11.4 elliptical Gaussian components

Priority: 1

OL-6.3-R9.11.5 uniform (multi-dimensional) spheres

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.11.6 trigonometric functions

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.11.7 Lorentzian profiles

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.11.8 user-supplied functions

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R9.12 Filtering, including:

OL-6.3-R9.12.1 Fourier (Wiener) filtering

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.12.2 Sobel filtering



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 53

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R9.12.3 unsharp mask

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R9.12.4 user-supplied filtering functions

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R9.13 Deconvolution

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.14 Resampling (e.g. at lower temporal or spectral resolution) after processing

Priority: 2

OL-6.3-R9.15 Calculus on scalar and vector fields in cube, including:

OL-6.3-R9.15.1 differentiation along paths

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R9.15.2 integration over regions

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R9.15.3 gradient of scalar fields

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R9.15.4 divergence of vector fields

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R9.15.5 curl of vector fields

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R9.15.6 Laplacian of vector fields

Priority: 3

OL-6.3-R10 There shall be the capability to manipulate data cubes as general data structures, so that arithmetical and logical operations can be applied as object methods.

Priority: 3



2.6.4 Single Dish-specific analysis: spectral mathematics, manipulation and miscellaneous functionality

A flexible suite of mathematical operations of single dish spectra should be possible. This should include options such as adding, subtracting, averaging and scaling the data. For common operations such as averaging and smoothing, it must be simple for the user to invoke the function (e.g. not have to start up a complex spectral calculator). Where it makes sense, it should be possible to manipulate multiple spectra simultaneously.

The spectral manipulations which should be available are:

OL-6.4-R1 Average spectra with velocity shift: If the velocity of the spectra to be averaged is different, the data should be aligned in velocity. The user should be able to turn this feature on or off.

Priority: 1

OL-6.4-R2 Robust averaging: Various robust averaging possibilities (e.g. median averaging, clipped means) should be possible

Priority: 2

OL-6.4-R3 Line flux: It must be possible to calculate the flux integral over a range of channels. The units should be Jy.km/s (or Kelvin.km/s). The channel range for the calculation should be specified via the GUI or CLI.

Priority: 2

OL-6.4-R4 Line width: It must be possible to calculate the numerical “width” of a line (full-width-at-half-maximum type of measurement). This should be calculated by specifying a channel range and finding the maximum value in this range and then finding the interpolated crossing point of the data as a user defined fraction of the maximum (default 50%). The profile width and velocity mid-point should then be computed. If the profile shape is complex (e.g. double arch) with multiple crossing points of the fraction value, the minimum and maximum width values should be calculated. There should be the option of using a user specified “maximum value.”

Priority: 2

OL-6.4-R5 FFT filtering: FFT filtering for high- and low-pass filtering and tapering should be available.

Priority: 3

OL-6.4-R6 FFT to/from autocorrelation function: It should be possible to FFT the data to and from power spectra to the autocorrelation function.



Priority: 3

OL-6.4-R7 Cross correlation: The user may wish to compute the cross correlation function of two spectra. The result should be a standard “spectra” which can be displayed and analysed using other functions (e.g. Max, RMS).

Priority: 3

OL-6.4-R8 Spectral calculator: Complex experiment-specific processing can often be done using a series of simple basic functions. A spectral calculator option should be added to the CLI to perform a series of manipulations on a set of spectra.

Priority: 1

OL-6.4-R9 Split into separate spectra: The user may want to perform specific analysis on the data using the functionality above but wish to do the manipulation between two polarizations or IFs. Allowing the functions to also, optionally, specify specific polarizations or IFs would be an implementation and interface nightmare. The simplest solution is to allow the data to be “split” into separate spectra:

OL-6.4-R9.1 Slice data: It must be possible to take multi-IF or polarization data and split out the splice of individual spectral portions to form self-contained spectra.

Priority: 1

OL-6.4-R9.2 Slice spectral channels: It must be possible to select a range of spectral channels to form self-contained spectra. The channel selection may be different for different IFs.

Priority: 1

OL-6.4-R9.3 Merge scan-tables: It must be possible to append rows from one scan-table to another

Priority: 1

OL-6.4-R10 Zeeman splitting: Zeeman splitting factors should be derived from (previous) profile fitting and the left and right circular polarizations. The velocity shift varies linearly with the magnetic field but the scaling factor depends on the molecule and transition. Scaling factors for common transitions should be known by the software and the user able to enter factors for less common transitions. Correctly identifying Zeeman pairs is crucial for getting the correct result. The software should attempt to make an initial guess of pairs (based on component velocity and width) but make the user confirm and over-ride the pairing if required.



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 56

Priority: 3

OL-6.4-R11 Plugins: The package should support “plugins”. These are user definable functions for specific processing. The plugin code must have full access (read/write) to the spectral data and headers

Priority: 1

OL-6.4-R12 Plugins can reduce dimensions: Plugins need to be able to create “derived” spectra with reduced dimensions (e.g. fewer IFs, polarizations, or spectral channels).

Priority: 2

OL-6.4-R13 Position Fitting: The software should be able to take a simple “grid” of observations (normally a set of observations in a cross pattern on the sky) and, for a subset of channels, fit the position of the emission. The fit positions should be either plotted on the screen or exported in a simple ASCII form.

Priority: 2

OL-6.4-R14 Kinematic distance: The kinematic distance of a source should be calculated using basic Galactic rotation models. Multiple Galactic rotation models should be supported and a mechanism for easily adding more should be available.

Priority: 3

OL-6.4-R15 Plot sigma errors on spectra: The software should be able to compute the RMS as a function of frequency across the spectra from the off-pulse data and then be able to plot n-sigma error bars on the spectra.

Priority 3

OL-6.4-R16 Pretty print formula: The molecular formula should be stored with embedded superscripted and subscripted symbols for “pretty” printing on plots, but printed in plain text on the CLI or in ASCII output.

Priority 3

OL-6.4-R17 Multiple rest frequencies per IF: The software should optionally support multiple lines per IF by storing a set of rest frequencies per IF rather than a single value. A simple mechanism must be possible to change the currently “active” rest-frequency.

Priority: 2



2.7 Visualization

This is intended as the purely graphical part of data analysis. There is by necessity some overlap with the functionality discussed under Data Analysis, particularly that for image cube manipulation, and it would in fact be ideal if visualization and analysis were so closely integrated that there were no effective difference. The intention here is that the user is not only able to display pre-calculated images (processed using tools from the Data Analysis suite), but also has the capability of doing some processing and display on-the-fly as an integral part of the visualization.

2.7.1 General Visualization and Plotting Requirements

OL-7.1-R1 Plotting and display capabilities shall be integrated into the GUI tools throughout the Package.

Priority: 1

OL-7.1-R2 Standard type of plots must be supported, such as:

- OL-7.1-R2.1** X-Y plots
- OL-7.1-R2.2** histograms
- OL-7.1-R2.3** contour plots
- OL-7.1-R2.4** vector plots
- OL-7.1-R2.5** 2D images
- OL-7.1-R2.6** wireframe 3D surfaces

Priority: 1

OL-7.1-R3 -Blinking- between two different images, or stepping through a set of ordered images, at a user-selected rate and with adjustable transfer functions must be possible.

Priority: 1

OL-7.1-R4 Standard plotting formats shall be supported, both displayed (e.g. X window) and hardcopy:

- OL-7.1-R4.1** There must be at least one designated standard output format (e.g. postscript) that can be converted by the user to a variety of formats using easily obtainable tools.

Priority: 1

- OL-7.1-R4.2** The Package shall also support the output of a variety of commonly used formats such as FITS, postscript, pdf, gif and/or jpeg.



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 58

Priority: 3

OL-7.1-R5 Identification of cursor position shall be available for interactive plots. Where appropriate, this information shall be recordable and exportable. If you -see-it- you should be able to figure out where it came from.

Priority: 1

OL-7.1-R6 An extra -axis- of information shall be encodable on the standard plot types using color and/or intensity.

Priority: 2

OL-7.1-R7 The displays shall have similar look and feel to reduce the plotting learning curve.

Priority: 2

2.7.2 Display Appearance and Interactivity

OL-7.2-R1 Plot selection parameters (axes, limits, colormap) shall be conveniently controllable.

Priority: 1

OL-7.2-R2 There shall be interactive display zooming and unzooming capability within plot windows.

Priority: 1

OL-7.2-R3 The plot update speed shall not be a bottleneck. Speed shall be benchmarked, and should be commensurate with comparable plotting packages.

Priority: 1

OL-7.2-R4 Different line styles, sizes, thicknesses and colors must be available. User shall be able to manipulate intensity and color scales, interactively for graphical displays. The setup shall be saveable and reloadable.

Priority: 1

OL-7.2-R5 Basic axis transformations shall be built in to plotting, such as:

OL-7.2-R5.1 Logarithmic amplitude and intensity scale

Priority: 1

OL-7.2-R5.2 Different time and coordinate units and formats (e.g. hours, hhmmss, radians, ddmms.s)

Priority: 1



OL-7.2-R6 User shall be able to augment plots and produce overlays of different data sets of standard formats:

OL-7.2-R6.1 Images with same axes, size and orientation shall be superposable directly, with basic control of colors and symbols.

Priority: 1

OL-7.2-R6.2 Overlay layer style shall be selectable, e.g. contours, grayscale, colormapped (RGB or HSV), or single color (i.e. one layer gets assigned intensity scales of red, another one of green, and one of blue).

Priority: 1

OL-7.2-R6.3 Overlay of selectable coordinate grids (e.g. J2000, B1950, galactic, ecliptic, pixel number) shall be available. It must also be possible to overlay multiple grids.

Priority: 1

OL-7.2-R6.4 The user must be able to overlay functional fits (e.g. polynomials) or points read in from standard tabular files

Priority: 2

OL-7.2-R6.5 It shall be possible to place data sets in -layers- which can be interactively colormapped, and switched on and off.

Priority: 2

OL-7.2-R6.6 It shall be possible to display and overlay data with different coordinate systems, i.e. the coordinate system of the display can be chosen independent of the system the data were observed in and the data transformed appropriately with pre-computation.

Priority: 3

OL-7.2-R6.7 It shall be possible to shift, rotate and scale the images interactively.

Priority: 3

OL-7.2-R7 Users shall be able to synchronize multiple display windows, for example such that zooming to a given pixel in one image window will select the equivalent pixel in the slaved windows.

Priority: 2

OL-7.2-R8 Users shall be able to add annotation, both interactively and through scripts, including text with various fonts (including Greek letters), symbols (e.g. all the symbols provided by the LaTeX package with AMSTeX extension), arrows, geometrical figures



like boxes and circles, etc.

Priority: 2

2.7.3 Visibility Data

OL-7.3-R1 Plotting of commonly used basic data and calibration quantities must be straightforward and easily accessible from all relevant tools. Any quantity should be displayable versus any other (or any two plus another encoded as a z-axis or intensity) as long as these quantities are defined for the same visibility or calibration solution interval.

These displayable quantities include:

- OL-7.3-R1.1** real and/or imaginary
- OL-7.3-R1.2** amplitude and/or phase
- OL-7.3-R1.3** delay and/or rate
- OL-7.3-R1.4** time
- OL-7.3-R1.5** hour angle
- OL-7.3-R1.6** parallactic angle
- OL-7.3-R1.7** uv distance
- OL-7.3-R1.8** u and/or v
- OL-7.3-R1.9** baseline
- OL-7.3-R1.10** channel or frequency or velocity
- OL-7.3-R1.11** azimuth and/or elevation

Priority: 1

OL-7.3-R2 Data selection parameters shall be understandable (e.g. by antenna name or number instead of antenna table entry number, polarization name RR or I) and straightforward, using graphical browsers (in GUI mode) and/or standard selection language (e.g. SQL queries) in script mode.

Priority: 1

OL-7.3-R3 It shall be possible to interpolate or extrapolate any tabulated quantity onto a visibility or calibration solution point, and then manipulate these like extra visibility information.

Priority: 3

2.7.4 Other ALMA Data



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 61

Although the Package will not likely be the primary vehicle for the ALMA staff to assess the state of the array, it is intended that users (as well as staff) have the full capability of using ancillary data provided by ALMA to aid in the processing and understanding of their data. Therefore, the Package should be able to deal with this data in as user-friendly a manner as possible.

OL-7.4-R1 The Package shall be able to plot standard ALMA-format ancillary data, including:

OL-7.4-R1.1 amplitude or single-dish power versus AZ and EL

Priority: 1

OL-7.4-R1.2 focus data and curves

Priority: 2

OL-7.4-R1.3 pointing data and offset vectors

Priority: 2

OL-7.4-R1.4 WVR output data

Priority: 3

OL-7.4-R1.5 holography and beam map data

Priority: 3

OL-7.4-R1.6 monitor point values (e.g. temperatures)

Priority: 3

2.7.5 Image-cube Manipulation

OL-7.5-R1 Histograms of pixel values must be easily produced for selected regions of the cube.

Priority: 1

OL-7.5-R2 It shall be possible to view subsets or slices of data cubes:

OL-7.5-R2.1 for axes aligned with cube faces

Priority: 1

OL-7.5-R2.2 for arbitrarily aligned axes

Priority: 3

These shall be interactively selected in GUI mode.

OL-7.5-R3 It must be possible to plot values of the same pixel in different cube layers, or in



different images, against each other.

Priority: 1

OL-7.5-R4 Data cubes must be viewable as movies with selectable frame rates and layer step directions.

Priority: 1

OL-7.5-R5 Interactive display of spectra corresponding to a pixel or region in a displayed image shall be supported.

Priority: 2

OL-7.5-R6 Interactive display of a 1D slice taken from a 2D image, such as dragging the line on the map to bring up a position-velocity diagram, shall be available.

Priority: 2

OL-7.5-R7 Plotting of spectra on a pseudo-grid corresponding to position on a raster (e.g. a – stamp map- or -profile map-, basically thumbnail spectra in panels corresponding to position) shall be possible.

Priority: 2

2.7.6 Single dish plotting

Plotting of single dish data should be fully interactive and be an integral part of the GUI and software interface

OL-7.6-R1 Line and histogram plots of spectra should be possible

Priority: 1

OL-7.6-R2 User plot choices: The user must be able to specify:

OL-7.6-R2.1 Line thickness

OL-7.6-R2.2 Line style

OL-7.6-R2.3 Character size

OL-7.6-R2.4 Colors

Priority: 1



OL-7.6-R3 Non-interactive hard copies: It must be possible to produce hard copies without an interactive plotter starting (e.g. X11)

Priority: 1

OL-7.6-R4 Arbitrary plots: It must be possible to flexibly select the data to plot (e.g. Tsys vs. time; amplitude vs. channel number or velocity). Preferable any of the header values for a selection of scans could be plotted on a scatter plot (e.g. Tsys vs. elevation).

Priority: 3

OL-7.6-R5 Auto-average integrations for plotting: It should be possible to optionally auto-average integrations of a scan for plotting (for data that has not already been scan averaged)

Priority: 2

OL-7.6-R6 Step between plots: If more spectra than can fit on the plot matrix are to be plotted, then it must be possible to step back and forth between the viewable spectra (e.g. “multi-page” plots. This includes stepping through a single plot on the pages at a time

Priority: 2

OL-7.6-R7 Multi-panel: change # panels: When using multi-panelling, the plotter should automatically update the plot when the plot matrix dimensions (“n” and “m”) are changed.

Priority: 2

OL-7.6-R8 Zoomed subplot: On a single plot, it should be possible to plot the full spectrum and a zoomed copy of the data (using a different line style) to see weak features). The user must be able to specify the zoom factor.

Priority: 2

OL-7.6-R9 Offset plots: Optionally when stacking multiple spectral plots in one sub-window, a (user definable) offset in the “Y” direction should be added to each subsequent spectra.

Priority: 2

OL-7.6-R10 Plotter auto-update: The plotter should automatically update to reflect user processing, either from the CLI or GUI. The user should have the option to turn this feature off if they so wish.

Priority: 3

OL-7.6-R11 Waterfall plot: It should be possible to plot individual integrations (possibly from multiple scans) in a “waterfall” plot. This is an image-based display where spectral channel is



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 64

along the x-axis of the plot, time (or integration number) along the y-axis and grey-scale or color represent the amplitude of the spectra. Interactive zooming and panning of this image should be supported.

Priority: 3

OL-7.6-R12 Waterfall editing: When plotting “waterfall” plots, it should be possible to interactively select regions or points and mark them as invalid (e.g. to remove RFI-affected data). The plotter should also show the time/velocity of the pixel beneath the cursor.

Priority: 3

OL-7.6-R13 Export waterfall to fits: It should be possible to export the “waterfall” plot images as a FITS file, for user specific analysis.

Priority: 3

OL-7.6-R14 Plot line catalog overlays: Line markers overlays, read from a catalogue should be optionally available. This would include the full Lovas catalog, the JPL catalog and radio recombination lines (*all these catalogs will be merged into a single spectral line catalog generated for ALMA users*). The lines should be Doppler-corrected to a specified velocity. The user must be able to plot just a subsection of the lines in any specific catalog (to avoid clutter).

Priority: 1 – individual catalogs

Priority: 2 – ALMA combined spectral line catalog

OL-7.6-R14.1 Plot user catalog overlays: It should be possible to define simple user catalogs for plot overlays.

Priority: 2

OL-7.6-R14.2 Spectral line identifications: It should be possible to automatically identify emission features in a spectrum that correspond to spectral lines in a line catalog if the features are above some specified detection limit. This identification should be provided to the user as a first guess only since multiple, overlapping lines may make a solid identification impossible.

Priority: 3

OL-7.6-R15 Plot residual data: It should be possible to plot the residual data with or without subtraction of fit functions. This includes plotting the spectra with or without baseline removal and the residual after subtracting Gaussian fits. The default should be to plot the data with baseline subtracted but profile fits not subtracted.

Priority: 1



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 65

OL-7.6-R16 Plot header data: Basic header data (e.g., source name, molecule name, observation time, Tsys, elevation, parallactic angle) should be optionally shown, either on the plot or next to it. This may either consist of a set of values, or only one or two values the user specifically wants to see (e.g. source name and molecule).

Priority: 2

OL-7.6-R16.1 User defined header plot positions: The user should be able to define where on the plot the header info would appear.

Priority: 3

OL-7.6-R17: Plot annotations: The user should be able to define simple annotations. This would include text overlay and simple graphics (e.g. lines, arrows, symbols)

Priority: 2

2.8 Special Features

2.8.1 Simulation

Note: Inclusion of a good simulation capability in the Package is crucial both for analysis of the data and because the Observing Tool will rely on the offline simulator for proposal preparation support. The main goals would be to allow the user to simulate basic ALMA modes based on input models or images and to replace existing data in ALMA format with simulated data.

OL-8.1-R1 The Package shall inherit the basic simulation capability of the ALMA Online Data Processing Pipeline (Levels 1 and 2) as outlined in ALMA-70.10.00.00-002-A-SPE <http://edm.alma.cl/forums/alma/dispatch.cgi/docapproval/docProfile/100798/d20040226162244/No/t100798.htm>, as per (OL-1.1-R5).

Priority: 2

OL-8.1-R1.1: Offline simulation capabilities: The Package shall also provide a simulation capability that allows the user to take an input image, component model list, or a model description (e.g. flux & Gaussian properties) and simulate visibilities, a point spread function (PSF) and create a resultant image. User-specified simulated observing parameters shall include: e.g. frequency setup; observing times and duration; antenna configurations).

Priority: 1



OL-8.2-R2 Single dish-specific simulation: The user should be able to create new spectra which the software treats the same as the original data. This includes full specification of the header items.

Priority: 3

2.8.2 VLBI

Note: It is assumed that VLBI data will be reduced in whatever package supports general VLBI observations, as ALMA will not be a stand-alone VLBI instrument. There are no VLBI requirements on the ALMA Offline Data Processing Package, though it may end up being the case that the Package also supports VLBI processing. This would have some advantage to users of the ALMA Package, and thus it is worth including a low-priority requirement to this effect.

OL-8.2-R1 It is desirable that the Package also support general VLBI processing suitable for dealing with ALMA data, in order to allow general ALMA users access to VLBI science without having to learn a completely new software package.

Priority: 3

2.8.3 Solar System Object Observing

The Sun and planets will be important and interesting targets for ALMA observing. The requirements are likely to be strongest on the actual hardware (e.g. high frequency and time resolution) but software compatibility must also be considered. In particular, solar and planetary observations require a special effort in tracking and handling of ephemerides.

OL-8.3-R1 The Package must be able to calculate and compensate for the position of moving objects in the solar system:

OL-8.3-R1.1 Ephemerides must be provided for major solar system objects, including:

OL-8.3-R1.1.1 Sun

OL-8.3-R1.1.2 Moon

OL-8.3-R1.1.3 planets

OL-8.3-R1.1.4 major asteroids (all known with 50km dia. greater)

OL-8.3-R1.1.5 known short period comets

Priority: 1

OL-8.3-R1.2 Ephemerides must be calculated in all available reference frames (e.g. OL-3.1-R10)



**ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements**

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 67

Priority: 1

OL-8.3-R1.3 The Package shall be able to import a user-supplied ephemeris in tabular form.

Priority: 2

OL-8.3-R1.4 The Package shall calculate positions from user-provided orbital elements.

Priority: 2

OL-8.3-R2 The Package must carry out astrometry for moving sources.

Priority: 1

OL-8.3-R3 The distance visibility amplitude correction for distance (from ephemeris for major objects, or user-supplied) shall be computed.

Priority: 1

OL-8.3-R4 The Package must calculate quantities for the -physical ephemeris-for the enumerated major solar system objects, including:

OL-8.3-R4.1 subearth latitude and longitude

OL-8.3-R4.2 subsolar latitude and longitude

OL-8.3-R4.3 position angle of North Pole

OL-8.3-R4.4 season

OL-8.3-R4.5 phase angle

Priority: 2

OL-8.3-R5 The Package shall do coordinate transformations from plane-of-sky to planetocentric for a selection of projections, including those of OL-3.3-R4, and in addition:

OL-8.3-R5.1 3-D backprojection (onto the planetary sphere)

Priority: 2

OL-8.3-R6 The imaging corrections for the near-field shall be included.

Priority: 2

OL-8.3-R7 In addition to the standard models available in the data analysis tools, there shall be provision for:

OL-8.3-R7.1 prolate and oblate ellipsoids



OL-8.3-R7.2 limb-darkened disks (polynomial, cosn, Legendre polynomial)

Priority: 2

OL-8.3-R8

The Package shall support the 3-D reconstruction of the emission using observations of the target object at different aspect angles and/or rotational phases.

Priority: 3

2.8.4 Pulsar Observing

ALMA-s pulsar needs will be much easier to satisfy than those of centimeter-wave arrays since dispersion smearing is a non-issue at these frequencies. We expect the main out-of-the-ordinary requirement will be integration into multiple phase bins. A minimum of 16 phase bins would be scientifically interesting. Pulsars have periods as short as 1.6 ms, so a minimum bin size of 0.1 ms would probably suffice. The main use of this binning capability will likely be measuring the on-pulse and off-pulse brightness which can be used to estimate or place bounds on the temperature of the neutron star. Pulsar timing is unlikely to be done with ALMA, barring the installation of specialized hardware. It is conceivable that ALMA would be a great instrument for pulsar parallaxes, especially if pulsars are detectable at 900GHz, and will likely be the best instrument in the southern hemisphere for years to come. The minimal spec of 16 pulsar phase bins would be sufficient for this work. (Confirmation of hardware supported timing required).

OL-8.4-R1 The Package shall support the processing of time-series data by folding into multiple phase bins which are synchronous with a user-specified pulsar period.

Priority: 3

OL-8.4-R2 The Package shall support at least 16 phase-bins.

Priority: 3

OL-8.4-R3 Interpolation onto the phase bins from the correlator output (integration times) shall be available.

Priority: 3

OL-8.4-R4 Single dish sky subtraction, Pulsar off pulse reference (position switching): For pulsar binned data, the (user specified) off pulse bins can be used as the reference spectra. Due to potentially rapid amplitude fluctuations, sky subtractions may need to be done on an integration basis.

Priority: 3

2.8.5 Array Analysis Support



ALMA Project
ALMA Offline Data Processing
Requirements

Doc # : ALMA-70.10.00.00-006-B-SPE
Date: 1/10/2007
Status: Draft
(Draft, Pending, Approved, Released, Superseded, Obsolete)
Page: 69

OL-8.5-R1 The package shall be able to calculate baseline delays (e.g. fringe fitting), e.g.:

OL-8.5-R1.1 Phase versus frequency (slope tells you how good the delays are)

Priority: 1

OL-8.5-R1.2 Phase versus time (calculate rates)

Priority: 1